# **ความเชื่อมโยงในปริภูมิเชิงทอพอโลยีวางนัยทั่วไปอุดมคติ Connectednessinasetof idealgeneralizedtopologicalspaces**

ณัฐยาน์ บุญแย้ม<sup>เ</sup>, ดรุณี บุญชารี<sup>2</sup>, จีระนันท์ คำภักดี<sup>3</sup>

Natthaya Boonyam $^1$ , Daruni Boonchari $^2$ , Jeeranunt Khampakdee $^3$ Received: 23 January 2019 ; Revised: 17 April 2019 ; Accepted: 23 April 2019

## **บทคัดย่อ**

จุดมุ่งหมายของบทความนี้ คือการศึกษาสมบัติของความเชื่อมโยงของเซตในปริภูมิเชิงทอพอโลยีวางนัยทั่วไปอุดมคติ เราได้ให้ ลักษณะของเซตเชื่อมโยงในรูปของ µ ํ เซตเชื่อมโยงในรูปของ µ ํ ํ เซตที่แยกกันได้ในรูปของ µ ํ และส่วนประกอบในรูปของ µ ํ

**คำสำคัญ :** ปริภูมิเชิงทอพอโลยีวางนัยทั่วไปอุดมคติ เซตเชื่อมโยงในรูปของ เซตเชื่อมโยงในรูปของ เซตที่แยกกันได้ ในรูปของ ส่วนประกอบในรูปของ

### **Abstract**

The aim of this paper is to study properties of connectedness in ideal generalized topological spaces. Precisely, we provide characterization of  $\mu^*$ -connected sets,  $\mu^{*}$ -connected sets,  $\mu^*$ -separated sets and  $\mu^*$ -component.

**Keywords** : ideal generalized topological spaces,  $\mu^*$ -connected sets,  $\mu^*$ -connected sets,  $\mu^*$ -separated sets,  $\mu^*$ -component.

#### **Introduction**

Kuratowski, (1966) and Vaiyanathaswamy, (1933) introduced the concept of an ideal topological space. They also studied concept of localization theory. An ideal is a nonempty collection of subsets which are closed under heredity and finite union. An ideal  $I$  on a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is a nonempty collection of subsets of X which satisfies : (1)  $A \in I$  and  $B \subset A$  implies  $B \in I$  and (2)  $A \in I$  and  $B \in I$  implies  $A \cup B \in I$ .

The notion of connectedness in ideal topological spaces has been introduced by E. Ekici and T. Noiri, (2008). The concept of generalized topological space was introduced by A. Csaszar, (2005). He found the theory of generalized topology to study the extremely elementary character of these classes.

A subfamily  $\mu$  of the power set P(X) of a nonempty set  $X$  is called a generalized topology on  $X$  if and only if  $\emptyset \in \mu$  and the union of elements of  $\mu$  belong to  $\mu$ . We call the pair  $(X,\mu)$  a generalized topological space on X. The member of  $\mu$  is called a  $\mu$ -open set and the complement of a  $\mu$ -open set is called a  $\mu$ -closed set.

For  $A \subseteq X$ , we denote by  $c_u(A)$  the intersection of all  $\mu$ -closed sets containing A, and by  $I_n(A)$  the union of all  $\mu$ -open sets contained in  $A$ . For the ideal I of X, the triple  $(X, \mu, I)$  is called an ideal generalized topological space.

The purpose of this paper is to introduce and study the union of connectedness in an ideal generalized topological space. We study the notion of properties of

*<sup>1</sup> นิสิตปริญญาโท, 2,3 ผู้ช่วยศาสตราจารย์, สาขาคณิตศาสตร์ คณะวิทยาศาสตร์ มหาวิทยาลัยมหาสารคาม อ�ำเภอกันทรวิชัย จังหวัดมหาสารคาม 44150 <sup>1</sup> Master's Degree student, 2,3 Assist. Prof., Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mahasarakham University, Kantharawichai District Maha Sarakham 44150, Thailand,* 

*<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author ; Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mahasarakham University, Kantharawichai District Maha Sarakham 44150, Thailand, 59010257002@msu.ac.th , daruni.b@msu.ac.th* 

 $\mu^*$ -connected sets,  $\mu^{*s}$ -connected sets,  $\mu^*$ -separated sets and  $\mu^*$ -component sets. Next we recall some concepts and definitions which are useful in the results. Some examples are given to illustrate our concepts.

#### **Preliminaries**

In this section, we introduce concepts and definitions which are useful in the results.

**Definition 1** (S. Modak, 2016) Let  $(X, \mu, I)$  be an ideal generalized topological space. A mapping  $\left(\begin{array}{c}i\end{array}\right)^{*,\mu}: P(X) \rightarrow P(X)$  is defined as follows :

 $A^{*\mu} = \{x \in X : A \cap U \notin I, \forall U \in \mu(x)\}$  for  $A \subset X$  where  $\mu(x) = \{U \in \mu : x \in U\}.$ 

This mapping is called the local function associated with the ideal  $\overline{I}$  and generalized topology  $\overline{II}$ 

**Definition 2** (S. Modak, 2016) Let  $(X, \mu, I)$  be an ideal generalized topological space. The set operator  $c^{*\mu}$  is called a generalized  $*$  -closure and is defined as  $c^{*\mu}(A) = A \cup A^{*\mu}$  for  $A \subseteq X$ . We denote by  $\mu^*(\mu, I)$  the generalized structure, generated by  $c^{*\mu}$ , that is  $\mu^*(\mu,I) = \{U \subseteq X : c^{*\mu}(X \setminus U) = X \setminus U\}$ .  $\mu^*(\mu,I)$  is called a  $*$ -generalized structure which is finer than  $\mu$ . The elements of  $\mu^*(\mu, I)$  are called  $\mu^*$ -open and the complement of  $\mu^*$ -open set are called  $\mu^*$ -closed. We simply write  $A^{* \mu}$  for  $A^{*\mu}(\mu,I).$ 

 **Theorem 3** (S. Modak, 2016) The set operator  $c^{*\mu}$  satisfies the following conditions :

- (1)  $A \subseteq c^{*\mu}(A)$  for  $A \subseteq X$ ,
- (2)  $e^{*\mu}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$  and  $e^{*\mu}(X) = X$ ,
- (3)  $\mathbf{c}^{*\mu}(A) \subset \mathbf{c}^{*\mu}(B)$ , if  $A \subset B \subset X$ ,
- (4)  $\mathbf{c}^{*\mu}(A) \cup \mathbf{c}^{*\mu}(B) \subset \mathbf{c}^{*\mu}(A \cup B)$ .

(5)  $e^{*\mu} \in \Gamma(X)$  where  $\Gamma(X)$  is the collection of all mappings having the property monotony (i.e. such that  $A \subseteq B$  implies  $\gamma(A) \subseteq \gamma(B)$ ).

Throughout the paper  $\mu$  will represent a generalized topological spaces such that  $\emptyset, X \in \mu$  and the union of elements of  $\mu$  belong to  $\mu$ .

**Theorem 4** Let  $(X,\mu)$  be a generalized topological space. Then  $\mu^*(\mu, I)$  is a generalized topological space.

Proof. Since  $\mu^*(\mu, I) = \{U \subset X : c^{*\mu}(X \setminus U) = X \setminus U\}$ , we have  $\emptyset$ , X are in  $\mu^*(\mu,I)$ . Next, we will show that if  $A_{n} \in \mu^{*}$  for all  $n \in \Delta$ , then  $\bigcup A_{n} \in \mu^{*}$ . Let  $A_{n} \in \mu$  for all  $n \in \Delta$ . Since  $X \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \Delta} A_n \subseteq c^{*\mu} \Big(X \setminus \bigcup_{n \in \Delta} A_n\Big)$ , we have to show that  $c^{*\mu}\left(X\setminus\bigcup A_{n}\right)\subseteq X\setminus\bigcup A_{n}$ . Consider  $\mathbf{c}^{*\mu}\left(X\setminus\bigcup_{n\in\Lambda}A_n\right)=\mathbf{c}^{*\mu}\left(\bigcap_{n\in\Lambda}(X\setminus A_n)\right)$  $=\left(\bigcap_{n\in\Lambda}(X\setminus A_n)\right)\cup\left(\bigcap_{n\in\Lambda}(X\setminus A_n)\right)^{*\mu}$  $\subseteq \left(\bigcap_{n\in\Lambda}(X\setminus A_n)\right)\cup\left(\bigcap_{n\in\Lambda}(X\setminus A_n)^{*_{\mu}}\right)$  $\subseteq \bigcap_{n\in\Delta} \Bigl[ \bigl(X\setminus A_n\bigr) \cup \bigl(X\setminus A_n\bigr)^{*\mu} \Bigr]$  $=\bigcap_{n\in\Lambda}\left[\mathbf{c}^{*\mu}\left(X\setminus A_{n}\right)\right]$  $=\bigcap_{\mathsf{n}\in\Delta}\bigl(X\setminus A_{\mathsf{n}}\bigr)$  $=\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} (X \cap A_n^{\circ})$  $=X\cap\left(\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{N}}A_n^c\right)$  $=X\cap\left(\bigcup_{n\in\Lambda}A_n\right)^c$  $=X\setminus \bigcup_{n\in\Delta} A_n.$ 

A subset  $A$  of an ideal generalized topological space  $(X,\mu,I)$  is said to be  $\mu^*$ - dense if  $c^{*\mu}(A) = X$ . An ideal generalized topological space  $(\mathrm{X}, \mu, \mathrm{I})$  is said to be  $\mu^*$ - hyperconnected if  $A$  is  $\mu^*$ - dense for every nonempty  $\mu$ -open subset A of X. A generalized topological space  $(X,\mu)$  is said to be  $\mu$ -hyperconnected if every pair of nonempty  $\,\mu$  -open sets of  $\,\mathrm{X}\,$  has nonempty intersection.

So that if  $(X,\mu,I)$  is an ideal generalized topological space and K is a subset of X, then  $(K, \mu_K, I_K)$ , where  $\mu_K$  is the relative generalized topological on K and  $I_{K} = {K \cap J : J \in I}$  is an ideal on K.

**Lemma 5** Let  $(X, \mu, I)$  be an ideal generalized topological space and  $M \subseteq K \subseteq X$ .

Then  $M^{*\mu}(\mu_K, I_K) = M^{*\mu}(\mu, I) \cap K$ .

Proof. Let  $x \in M^{*\mu}(\mu_K, I_K)$ . Then  $x \in K$ . Suppose that  $x \notin M^{*\mu}(\mu, I)$ . Then there exists a  $\mu$ -open set U such that  $U \cap M \in I$ . Since  $U \cap K \in \mu_K(x)$ . Then  $(U \cap K) \cap M = (U \cap M) \cap K \in I_K$ . So  $x \in M^{* \mu}(\mu, I)$  and  $x \in K$ . This is a contradiction. Thus  $x \in M^{*_\mu}(\mu, I) \cap K$ . Conversely, let  $y \in M^{*\mu}(\mu, I) \cap K$ . Assume that  $y \notin M^{* \mu}(\mu_K, I_K)$ . Then there exists  $G \in \mu_K(y)$  such that  $G \cap M \in I_{\kappa}$ . Since  $G \in \mu_{\kappa}$  (y), there exists  $\mu$ -open set U such that  $G=U\cap K$ . Since  $M\subseteq K$  and  $I_K\subseteq I$ ,  $(U\cap K)\cap M\in I$  So  $y\in U\cap M\in I$ . This is a contradiction. Hence  $y \in M^{*\mu}(\mu_K, I_K)$ .

**Definition 6** Let  $(X, \mu, I)$  be an ideal generalized topological space and  $M \subseteq K \subseteq X$ . A generalized \* -closure on K is defined as  $c_K^{* \mu}(M) = c^{* \mu}(M) \cap K$ .

## **Connectedness in a set of ideal generalized topological spaces**

In this section, we introduce the concept of  $\mu^*$ - connected sets,  $\mu^*$ - connected sets,  $\mu^*$ - separated sets and  $\mu^*$ - component. Moreover, we study characterization of  $\mu^*$ - dense and  $\mu^*$ - hyperconnected.

 **Definition 1** An ideal generalized topological space  $(X, \mu, I)$  is called  $\mu^*$  - connected if  $X$  cannot be written as disjoint union of a nonempty  $\mu$  - open set and a nonempty  $\mu^*$  - open set.

 **Definition 2** A generalized topological space  $(X,\mu)$  is called  $\mu$  - connected if  $X$  cannot be written as disjoint union of two nonempty  $\mu$  - open sets.

Example Let  $X = \{a,b,c,d\}$ ,

 $\mu = \{ \emptyset, X, \{a,b\}, \{b,c\}, \{a,b,c\} \}, \ I = \{ \emptyset, \{b\} \}.$ Then  $\mu^* = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a,b\}, \{a,c\}, \{b,c\}, \{a,b,c\},\$ 

 ${a,c,d}, {b,c,d}.$ 

Therefore,  $(X, \mu, I)$  is  $\mu^*$  - connected.

**Definition 3** A subset  $A$  of an ideal generalized topological space  $(X, \mu, I)$  is called  $\mu^*$ - connected if  $(A, \mu_A, I_A)$  is  $\mu^*$  - connected.

Example Let $X = \{a,b,c,d\}$  $\mu = \{ \emptyset, X, \{a,b\}, \{b,c\}, \{a,b,c\} \}$ ,  $I = \{ \emptyset, \{b\} \}$  and  $A = \{a,b,d\}$ . Then  $\mu_A = \{\emptyset, A, \{b\}, \{a,b\}\}\$ ,  $I_A = \{\emptyset, \{b\}\}\$ and  $\mu_{A}^{*} = \{ \emptyset, A, \{a\}, \{a,b\}, \{a,c\} \}$ . Therefore,  $(A, \mu_{A}, I_{A})$  is  $\mu^*$  - connected.

 **Remark 4** (1) Generally, it is known that every  $\mu$  - hyperconnected generalized topological space is  $\mu$ - connected, but not conversely.

(2) Every  $\mu^*$ - hyperconnected generalized topological space is  $\mu^*$  - connected, but not conversely.

(3) Every  $\mu^*$ - hyperconnected generalized topological space is  $\mu$  - hyperconnected, but not conversely.

(4) Every  $\mu^*$  - connected generalized topological space is  $\mu$  - connected, but not conversely.

Example (1) Let  $X = \{a,b,c\}$  and  $\mu = \{ \emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a,b\} \}$ . Then the space  $(X, \mu)$  is  $\mu$  - connected but  $(X,\mu)$  is not  $\mu$  - hyperconnected.

(2) Let  $X = \{a,b,c,d\}$ ,

 $\mu = \{ \emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a,b\}, \{a,c\}, \{a,b,c\}, \{a,c,d\} \}$  and  $I = \{ \emptyset, \{b\} \}$ . Then the space  $(X, \mu, I)$  is  $\mu^*$  - connected

but  $(X,\mu,I)$  is not  $\mu^*$  - hyperconnected.

(3) Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ ,

 $\mu = \{ \emptyset, X, \{a,b\}, \{a,c\}, \{a,b,c\} \}$  and  $I = \{ \emptyset, \{a\}, \{d\}, \{a,d\} \}.$ Then the space  $(X,\mu)$  is  $\mu$ - hyperconnected but  $(X,\mu,I)$  is not  $\mu^*$  - hyperconnected.

(4) Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ ,  $\mu = \{ \emptyset, X, \{c\}, \{a,b\}, \{a,c\}, \{a,b,c\} \}$  and  $I = \{ \emptyset, \{b\} \}$ . Then the space  $(X,\mu)$  is  $\mu$ - connected but  $(X,\mu,I)$  is not  $\mu^*$  - connected.

**Definition 5** Nonempty subsets M.K of an ideal generalized topological space  $(X, \mu, I)$  are called  $\mu^*$ - separated if  $c^{*\mu}(M) \cap K = M \cap c(G) = \emptyset$ .

**Definition 6** Let  $(X, \mu, I)$  be an ideal generalized topological space and  $(Y, \mu_{\nu}, I_{\nu})$  be a subspace of X. Nonempty subsets  $M,K$  of  $(Y,\mu_{Y},I_{Y})$  are called  $\mu^*$  - separated if  $c^*_{\nu}(M) \cap K = M \cap c^{\mu}_{\nu}(K) = \emptyset$ .

**Theorem 7** If  $M$  and  $K$  are  $\mu^*$ - separated sets of X and  $M \cup K \in \mu$ , then M is  $\mu$  - open and  $K$  is  $\mu^*$  - open.

Proof. Let  $M$  and  $K$  be  $\mu^*$ - separated sets of X. Then we get that  $K \subseteq X \setminus c^{*\mu}(M)$ . Since  $c^{*\mu}(M)$ is  $\mu^*$  - closed and  $K = (M \cup K) \cap (X \setminus c^{*\mu}(M))$ . K is a  $\mu^*$  - open set. We get that M is a  $\mu$  - open set likewise.

**Theorem 8** Let  $(X, \mu, I)$  be an ideal generalized topological space and  $M$ , $K \subseteq Y \subseteq X$ .  $M$ , $K$  are  $\mu^*$ - separated in Y if and only if  $M$ , K are  $\mu^*$ - separated in  $X$ .

Proof. Suppose that  $M,K$  are  $\mu^*$ - separated in  $Y$ . By Lemma 2.5, we get that

$$
\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{v}}^{*\mu}(\mathbf{M}) \cap \mathbf{K} = (\mathbf{c}^{*\mu}(\mathbf{M}) \cap \mathbf{Y}) \cap \mathbf{K} = \mathbf{c}^{*\mu}(\mathbf{M}) \cap \mathbf{K}.
$$
  
Thus

$$
c^{*\mu}(M)\cap K = c^{*\mu}_{v}(M)\cap K = \varnothing = (M\cap c^{*\mu}_{v}(K))\cap K
$$
  
=  $M\cap (c^{*\mu}_{v}(K)\cap Y) = M\cap ((Y\cap c_{\mu}(K))\cap Y)$ 

 $\mathsf{P} = M \cap \mathsf{c}_n(K)$  Therefore  $M,K$  are  $\mu^*$ - separated in X. Conversely, suppose that subsets  $M,K$  are  $\mu^*$ separated in X. Since  $M$ ,  $K \subseteq Y$  and by Definition 3.5, we get that  $c^{* \mu}(M) \cap K = M \cap c_{\mu}(K) = \emptyset$ . So

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{v}}^{\mathsf{ML}}(\mathbf{M}) \cap \mathbf{K} &= \mathbf{c}^{\mathsf{ML}}(\mathbf{M}) \cap \mathbf{K} = \varnothing = \mathbf{M} \cap \mathbf{c}_{\mu}(\mathbf{K}) \\
&= (\mathbf{M} \cap \mathbf{Y}) \cap \mathbf{c}_{\mu}(\mathbf{K}) = \mathbf{M} \cap (\mathbf{c}_{\mu}(\mathbf{K}) \cap \mathbf{Y}) \\
&= \mathbf{M} \cap \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{v}}^{\mathsf{ML}}(\mathbf{K}). \text{ Thus } \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{v}}^{\mathsf{ML}}(\mathbf{M}) \cap \mathbf{K} = \varnothing = \mathbf{M} \cap \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{v}}^{\mathsf{UL}}(\mathbf{K}).\n\end{aligned}
$$
\nTherefore,  $\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{K}$  are  $\mu^*$ -separated in  $\mathbf{Y}$ .

**Definition 9** A subset M of an ideal generalized topological space  $(X, \mu, I)$  is called  $\mu^{*s}$  - connected if  $M$  is not the union of two  $\mu^*$ - separated sets in  $(X,\mu,I)$ .

**Theorem 10** Let  $(X, \mu, I)$  be an ideal generalized topological space. If  $M$  is a  $\mu^{*s}$ - connected set in  $X$  and  $H$ ,  $K$  are  $\mu^*$ - separated sets in  $X$  with  $M \subseteq H \cup K$ , then either  $M \subseteq H$  or  $M \subseteq K$ .

Proof. Let M be a  $\mu^*$ - connected set in X and  $H$ , $K$  be  $\mu^*$ -separated sets in  $X$  with  $M\!\subset\! H\!\cup\! K$ . Then  $\mathrm{M}\!=\!\mathrm{M}\!\cap\!(\mathrm{H}\!\cup\!\mathrm{K}\,)\!=\!(\mathrm{M}\!\cap\!\mathrm{H})\!\cup\!(\mathrm{M}\!\cap\!\mathrm{K}\,)$  and  ${\bf c}^{* \mu}(H) \cap K = H \cap {\bf c}_{\mu}(K) = \emptyset$ . Thus

 $(M \cap K) \cap c^{*\mu}(M \cap H) \subseteq K \cap c^{*\mu}(H) = \varnothing$  Likewise, we have  $(M \cap H) \cap c_u(M \cap K) = \emptyset$ . If  $M \cap H \neq \emptyset$ 

and  $M \cap K \neq \emptyset$ , then  $M \cap H$  and  $M \cap K$  are  $\mu^*$ - separated sets in  $X$ , so  $M$  is not a  $\mu^*$  - separated set. This is a contradiction. Thus either  $M \cap H$  or  $M \cap K$  are empty. Assume that  $M \cap H = \emptyset$ . Then  $\mathrm{M}\!=\!\mathrm{M}\!\cap\!\mathrm{K}$  implies that  $\mathrm{M}\!\subseteq\!\mathrm{K}.$  In a similar way, we have that  $M \subseteq H$ .

**Theorem 11** Let  $(X, \mu, I)$  be an ideal generalized topological space. If  $M$  is a  $\mu^{*_s}$ - connected set and  $M \subset K \subset c^{*\mu}(M)$ , then K is  $\mu^{**}$ - connected.

Proof. Assume that  $K$  is not  $\mu^{*s}$ - connected.

Then there exist  $\mu^*$ - separated sets  $A$  and  $B$ such that  $K = A \cup B$  i.e.  $A,B$  are nonempty and  ${\bf c}^{*\mu}(A) \cap B = A \cap {\bf c}_{\mu}(B) = \emptyset$ . By Theorem 3.10, we get that either  $M \subseteq A$  or  $M \subseteq B$ . Assume that  $M \subseteq A$ . Then  $c_n(M) \subseteq c_n(A)$  and  $B=B\cap c^{* \mu}(M){\subseteq} B\cap c_{\mu}(M){=}\emptyset$ . Thus B is an empty set. This is a contradiction. Suppose  $M \subseteq B$ . Then we have  $c^{*\mu}(M) \subset c^{*\mu}(B)$  and  $\mathsf{A}\!=\!\mathsf{A}\!\cap\! \mathsf{c}^{*_\mu}(\mathsf{M})\!\subseteq\!\mathsf{A}\!\cap\! \mathsf{c}^{*_\mu}(\mathsf{B})\!=\!\varnothing$  Thus  $\mathsf{A}$  is nonempty. This is a contradiction. Therefore,  $K$  is  $\mu^*$  - connected.

**Corollary 12** Let  $(X, \mu, I)$  be an ideal generalized topological space. If  $M$  is a  $\mu^{*s}$ - connected set, then  $c^{*\mu}(M)$  is  $\mu^{**}$  - connected.

**Theorem 13** Let  $(X, \mu, I)$  be an ideal generalized topological space. If  ${M_{n}:n \in \Omega}$  is nonempty family of  $\mu^{*_s}$ - connected sets with  $\bigcap_{n\in\Omega}M_n\neq\emptyset$ , then  $\bigcup_{n\in\Omega}M_n$ is a  $\mu^*$ - connected set.

Proof. Suppose that  $\bigcup M_{n}$  is not a  $\mu^{*s}$  - connected set. Then we have that  $\bigcup_{n\in\Omega}M_n = A \cup B$  where A and B are  $\mu^*$ - separated sets. Since  $\bigcap M_n \neq \emptyset$ , we have a point  $x \in \bigcap M_n$ . Thus  $x \in M_n$  and  $M_{n} \subseteq A \cup B$  for all  $n \in \Omega$ . It follows from  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ that either  $x \in A$  or  $x \in B$ . Case  $x \in A$ . For any  $n \in \Omega, M \cap A \neq \emptyset$ . Using Theorem 3.10, we have  $M_{n} \subseteq A$  or  $M_{n} \subseteq B$ . So  $M_{n} \subseteq A$  for all  $n \in \Omega$  and then  $\bigcup M_{n} \subseteq A$ . This implies that  $B \neq \emptyset$ . This is a contradiction. In the same way for a case  $x \in B$ , we have  $A = \emptyset$ . This is a contradiction. Hence  $\;\bigcup\; M_{\! \! \alpha} \;$  is a  $\;\mu^{**}$ - connected set.

**Definition 14** Let  $(X, \mu, I)$  be an ideal generalized topological space and  $x \in X$ . The union of all  $\mu^{*s}$ - connected subsets of  $X$  containing  $x$  is called the  $\mu^*$  - component of  $X$  containing x

**Theorem 15** Each  $\mu^*$ - component of an ideal generalized topological space  $(X,\mu,I)$  is a maximal  $\mu^*$  - connected set.

Proof. Let  $x \in X$ . Suppose that  $c$ , is  $\mu^*$ -com-

ponent of X such that  $x \in \mathbf{C}_x$  so  $\mathbf{C}_x = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \{M_i \subseteq X\}$ where  $M_i$  is a  $\mu^{*s}$  - connected set containing x. Then  $\bigcap \left\{M_{i} \subseteq X \colon x \in M_{i}\right\} \neq \varnothing$ . Since  $M_{i}$  is  $\mu^{*_s}$  - connected for all  $j \Box J$ , theorem 3.13, implies that  $C_x$  is  $\mu^*$ - connected. Next, let  $A \subseteq X$  and  $A$  be  $\mu^{*s}$ - connected such that  $C \subseteq A$ . Then  $x \in A$ , by definition of  $C \neq w$ e have  $A \subseteq \mathbf{C}$ . Thus  $\mathbf{C} = A$ . Therefore,  $\mathbf{C}$  is a maximal  $\mu^{*s}$ - connected set of X.

**Theorem 16** The set of all distinct  $\mu^*$  - component of an ideal generalized topological space  $(X,\mu,I)$ forms a partition of  $X$ .

Proof. Let M and K be two distinct  $\mu^*$  - components of X. Suppose that  $M \cap K \neq \emptyset$ . By Theorem 3.13,  $M\cup K$  is  $\mu^{*s}$ - connected in X. Since  $M\subset M\cup K$ . Then M is not maximal. Thus M and  $K$  are disjoint. Since M and K are distinct  $\mu^*$ -components of  $X$ . By Theorem 3.15, we get that  $M$  and K are maximal  $\mu^{*}$ - connected set of X. So,  $M = X\backslash K$ . Hence  $M \cup K = (X\backslash K) \cup K = X$ .

**Theorem 17** Each  $\mu^*$ - component of an ideal generalized topological space  $(\mathrm{X}, \mu, \mathrm{I})$  is  $\mu^*$ closed.

Proof. Let  $A$  be  $\mu^*$ - component of X. Then, by Theorem 3.15, and Corollary 3.12, we get that  $A$  is maximal  $\mu^{*s}$  - connected and  $c^{*\mu}(A)$  is  $\mu^{*s}$  - connected. Thus  $A = c^{*\mu}(A)$ . This implies that A be  $\mu^*$ - closed.

#### **References**

- 1. A. Csaszar.(2005). Generalized open sets in generalized topologies. Acta Math. Hungar.,115(1-2), 29- 36.
- 2. E. Ekici. and T. Noiri.(2008). Connectedness in ideal topological spaces. Novi Sad J. Math, 38, 65–70.
- 3. K. Kuratowski.(1966). Topology. Academic Press, Vol. I. New York. R. Vaidyanathaswamy.(1945). The localization theory in set topology. Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. 20, 51-61.
- 4. S. Modak.(2016). Ideal on generalized topological spaces. Scientia Magna, 11, 14-20.